

C
C

31. (New) The method of claim 11, wherein the edge switch can receive a second acknowledgment packet subsequently to receiving the first acknowledgment packet, and wherein the second acknowledgement packet will not include a set broadcast learn flag.

32. (New) The method of claim 11, further comprising: in response to a link failure, constructing a new pruned broadcast tree, including receiving a new dynamic cost information packet, removing all broadcast paths to other switches, and sending a new acknowledgement packet in response to the new dynamic cost information packet in order to establish a new broadcast path.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In section 1 of the Office Action, the proposed drawing corrections filed on March 11, 2003 were approved. Enclosed herewith are formal drawings for Figure 31 and Figure 32 with the approved drawing corrections. Also enclosed herewith is a LETTER TO THE OFFICIAL DRAFTSPERSON for the formal drawings for Figure 31 and Figure 32.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the approval of the formal drawings for Figure 31 and Figure 32.

In section 2 of the Office Action, claims 21 and 24 were objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject

matter of a previous claim. Applicant is canceling claims 21 and 24 in this amendment.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the objections in section 2 of the Office Action.

In section 3 of the office action, claims 1, 8-10, 11, and 18-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Dutt et al. (USP 6,202,114) in view of Dobbins et al. (USP 5,825,722). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Dutt is directed to a class of algorithms for the automatic reconfiguration of a network in the event of a link failure. Dutt uses Bridge Protocol Data Unit (BPDU) frames to determine which port on a bridge will be in a data forwarding state and which ports will be in a data blocking state. The Examiner correctly admits in the office action that Dutt does not disclose dynamic cost information packets. In an attempt to overcome the deficiency of Dutt, the Examiner relies on Dobbins in an attempt to show the use of dynamic cost information packets in network routing.

Dobbins is directed to providing a topology and connection services which include a distributed link state protocol for determining the inter-switch topology. Dobbins discloses sending hello packets on all switch interfaces to establish and maintain neighbor relationships.

Independent claim 1 distinguishes over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins at least by reciting, a method including "constructing a pruned broadcast tree by propagation of dynamic cost information packets from edge switches, wherein a dynamic cost information packet is sent by an edge switch and a receiving switch sends back a first acknowledgement packet to the edge switch in response to first dynamic cost

information packet, and wherein the first acknowledgement packet will have a set broadcast learn flag to inform the edge switch that broadcast packets will be transmitted from a particular port of the edge switch, where the particular port has received the first acknowledgement packet with the set broadcast learn flag", and such recited features are not disclosed or suggested Dutt and Dobbins, considered singly or in combination. As noted in page 11 of the specification, these claimed features beneficially assure that the switch receiving the first cost packet from an edge switch is picking the initial lowest latency part from the edge switch and that the load balance protocol does not require a separate pass or packet type for the broadcast setup.

It would not have been obvious to modify Dutt with Dobbins because the combination would require a substantial reconstruction and redesign of the elements disclosed in the primary reference. (See MPEP 2143.01). For example, there is no suggestion in the references on how to modify the elements in the Dutt to perform the step of "constructing a pruned broadcast tree by propagation of dynamic cost information packets from edge switches, wherein a dynamic cost information packet is sent by an edge switch and a receiving switch sends back a first acknowledgement packet to the edge switch in response to first dynamic cost information packet, and wherein the first acknowledgement packet will have a set broadcast learn flag to inform the edge switch that broadcast packets will be transmitted from a particular port of the edge switch, where the particular port has received the first acknowledgement packet with the set broadcast learn flag". Furthermore, Dutt and Dobbins do not suggest or disclose any interface circuitry, modules, systems, methods, and/or techniques that permit the elements

disclosed in Dutt to perform the various steps in claim 1. Therefore, the modification of Dutt, as suggested in the Office Action, is improper.

Accordingly, claim 1 is patentable over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins.

Claims 8-10 and 23 depend from claim 1 and are patentable over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins for at least the same reasons that claim 1 is patentable over the same combination.

Each of the claims 8-10 and 23 further distinguishes over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins by reciting additional features.

Accordingly, each of the claims 8-10 and 23 is patentable over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins.

Independent claim 11 is patentable over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins at least for the same reasons that claim 1 is patentable over the same combination. Claim 11 distinguishes over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins at least by reciting, a network "including a computer readable storage medium tangibly embodying a method operable within said network switch for managing a broadcast tree, said method comprising the steps of: constructing a pruned broadcast tree by propagation of dynamic cost information packets from edge switches, wherein a dynamic cost information packet is sent by an edge switch and a receiving switch sends back a first acknowledgement packet to the edge switch in response to first dynamic cost information packet, and wherein the first acknowledgement packet will have a set broadcast learn flag to inform the edge switch that broadcast packets will be transmitted from a particular port of the edge switch, where the particular port has received the first acknowledgement packet with the set broadcast learn flag", and such recited features are not disclosed or

suggested by Dutt and Dobbins, considered singly or in combination.

Accordingly, claim 11 is patentable over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins.

Claims 18-20 and 26 depend from claim 11 and are patentable over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins for at least the same reasons that claim 11 is patentable over the same combination.

Each of the claims 18-20 and 26 further distinguishes over the combination of Allon and Grover by reciting additional features.

Accordingly, each of the claims 18-20 and 26 is patentable over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins.

For the above reasons, Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103.

In section 4 of the office action, claims 2-7 and 12-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Dutt et al. (USP 6,202,114) in view of Dobbins et al. (USP 5,825,722) as applied to claims 1 or 11, and further in view of Allon et al. (USP 5,539,883).

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Claims 2-7 and 12-17 depend from claim 1 and claim 11, respectively and are patentable over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins for at least the same reasons that claim 1 is patentable over the same combination.

Each of the claims 2-7 and 12-17 further distinguishes over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins and Allon by reciting additional features.

Furthermore, it would not have been obvious to modify Dutt with Dobbins and Allon because the combination would require a substantial reconstruction and redesign of the

elements disclosed in the primary reference. (See MPEP 2143.01). For example, there is no suggestion in the references on how to modify the elements in the Dutt to perform the steps recited in claim 1. Furthermore, Dutt and Dobbins and Allon do not suggest or disclose any interface circuitry, modules, systems, methods, and/or techniques that permit the elements disclosed in Dutt to perform the various steps in claim 1. Therefore, the modification of Dutt, as suggested in the Office Action, is improper.

Accordingly, each of the claims 2-7 and 12-17 is patentable over the combination of Dutt and Dobbins and Allon.

For the above reasons, Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103.

New dependent claims 27-32 are being added and recite features that are not disclosed or suggested by Dutt and Dobbins and Allon, considered singly or in combination.

For the above reasons, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of all pending claims.

If the undersigned attorney has overlooked a teaching in any of the cited references that is relevant to the allowability of the claims, the Examiner is respectfully requested to specifically point out where such teachings may be found.

Shown in the section below is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification or claims by the current amendment. The section is captioned "**VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**".

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

In the claims:

Please amend claims 1 and 11 as shown below:

1. (Three Times Amended) A method operable within a network switch for managing a broadcast tree, said method comprising the steps of:

constructing a pruned broadcast tree by propagation of dynamic cost information packets from edge switches, wherein a dynamic cost information packet is sent by an edge switch and a receiving switch sends back a first acknowledgement packet to the edge switch in response to first dynamic cost information packet, and wherein the first acknowledgement packet will have a set broadcast learn flag to inform the edge switch that broadcast packets will be transmitted from a particular port of the edge switch, where the particular port has received the first acknowledgement packet with the set broadcast learn flag; and

forwarding received broadcast messages to other network devices in accordance with said pruned broadcast tree, ~~wherein an acknowledgement message is received in response to the dynamic cost information packet, where the acknowledgement message indicates if an associated path should be used for broadcast to an identified network device.~~

11. (Three Times Amended) A network switch including a computer readable storage medium tangibly embodying a method operable within said network switch for

managing a broadcast tree, said method comprising the steps of:

constructing a pruned broadcast tree by propagation of dynamic cost information packets from edge switches, wherein a dynamic cost information packet is sent by an edge switch and a receiving switch sends back a first acknowledgement packet to the edge switch in response to first dynamic cost information packet, and wherein the first acknowledgement packet will have a set broadcast learn flag to inform the edge switch that broadcast packets will be transmitted from a particular port of the edge switch, where the particular port has received the first acknowledgement packet with the set broadcast learn flag; and

forwarding received broadcast messages to other network devices in accordance with said pruned broadcast tree, wherein an acknowledgement message is received in response to the dynamic cost information packet, where the acknowledgement message indicates if an associated path should be used for broadcast to an identified network device.

Please cancel claims 21, 22, 24, and 25.

Please add the following claims:

27. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the first acknowledgement packet is used to establish a broadcast path from the edge switch to the receiving switch.

28. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the edge switch can receive a second acknowledgment packet subsequently to receiving the first acknowledgment packet, and wherein the second acknowledgement packet will not include a set broadcast learn flag.

29. (New) The method of claim 1, further comprising: in response to a link failure, constructing a new pruned broadcast tree, including receiving a new dynamic cost information packet, removing all broadcast paths to other switches, and sending a new acknowledgement packet in response to the new dynamic cost information packet in order to establish a new broadcast path.

30. (New) The method of claim 11, wherein the first acknowledgment packet is used to establish a broadcast path from the edge switch to the receiving switch.

31. (New) The method of claim 11, wherein the edge switch can receive a second acknowledgment packet subsequently to receiving the first acknowledgment packet, and wherein the second acknowledgement packet will not include a set broadcast learn flag.

32. (New) The method of claim 11, further comprising: in response to a link failure, constructing a new pruned broadcast tree, including receiving a new dynamic cost information packet, removing all broadcast paths to other switches, and sending a new acknowledgement packet in response to the new dynamic

cost information packet in order to establish a new
broadcast path.

CONTACT INFORMATION

If the Examiner has any questions or needs any additional information, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney at (805) 681-5078.

Date: July 9, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

BALLARD C. BARE

cc

By: Arnold M. de Guzman
 Attorney for Applicant(s)
 Reg. No. 39,955
 805.681.5078
 805.681.5076 (Fax)

Please send correspondence to:

IP Administration
 Legal Department, M/S 35
 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
 P.O. Box 272400
 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence, including the enclosures identified herein, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date shown below. If the Express Mail Mailing Number is filled in below, then this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.10.			
Signature:	<i>cc</i>		
Typed or Printed Name:	Arnold M. de Guzman, Reg. No. 39,955	Dated:	July 9, 2003
Express Mail Mailing Number (optional):			